

APPLICATION OF

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

CASE NO. PUR-2024-00159

For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Partial Rebuild of Lines #256 and #2090/2355 and New 230 kV Lines #2372 (Ladysmith – New Post) and #2378 (Lee’s Hill – New Post)

FINAL ORDER

On August 29, 2024, Virginia Electric and Power Company (“Dominion” or “Company”) filed with the State Corporation Commission (“Commission”) an application (“Application”) for approval and certification of electric transmission facilities in the Counties of Spotsylvania and Caroline, Virginia. Dominion filed its Application pursuant to § 56-265.1 *et seq.* of the Code of Virginia (“Code”).

Through its Application, the Company proposes to complete the following to resolve identified violations of North American Electric Reliability Corporation (“NERC”) Reliability Standards and to maintain the structural integrity and reliability of its transmission system in compliance with mandatory NERC Reliability Standards (“Project”):¹

- Partially rebuild 230 kV Line #256 from Ladysmith Combustion Turbine (“CT”) to St. Johns Substation. Line #256 currently shares an existing double-circuit tower line with Line #2090, which was constructed in 2010-2011, from Ladysmith CT to Structure #256/107, #2090/107 (“Elmont Junction”). Line #256 will be reconductored on existing structures for approximately 5.1 miles, starting at Ladysmith CT and traveling to Elmont Junction. The existing right-of-way in this 5.1-mile section is 250 feet in width. This portion of Line #256 will be reconductored with 2-768 Aluminum Conductor Steel Supported (“ACSS”) conductor. One new Design Number (“DNO”)-11410 48-fiber shield wire will be installed above Line #256 for lightning protection shielding and

¹ Application at 2-4.

telecommunications purposes. The existing towers for this portion of Line #256 will remain unimproved. After reconductoring the 5.1-mile section of Line #256, Line #256 and Line #2090 will split at Elmont Junction. Line #256 will proceed south and be rebuilt along an existing 200-foot-wide right-of-way to St. Johns Substation for approximately 7.3 miles on new double-circuit weathering steel monopole structures. Line #256 will be strung on one side of the double-circuit monopoles, and a new 230 kilovolt (“kV”) line will be installed on the other side of the double-circuit monopoles for a future circuit that will be extended to Elmont Substation. The conductor on both sides of the structures will be 2-768 ACSS conductor. Two new DNO-11410 48-fiber shield wires will also be installed for lightning protection shielding and telecommunications purposes. The new line and substation equipment will have a minimum summer rating of 1573 megavolt amperes (“MVA”) using 4000 Ampere (“A”) substation equipment.

- Partially rebuild Line #2090 from Ladysmith CT to Lee’s Hill Substation. As previously noted, Line #2090 shares an existing double-circuit tower line with Line #256 in this section that was constructed in 2010-2011. Line #2090 will be reconducted on the same existing structures as Line #256 for approximately 5.1 miles along a 250-foot-wide right-of-way, traveling from Ladysmith CT to Elmont Junction. This portion of the line will be reconducted with 2-768 ACSS conductor. One new DNO-11410 48-fiber shield wire will be installed above Line #2090 for lightning protection shielding and telecommunications purposes. The existing towers will remain unimproved. After reconductoring the first 5.1-mile section, Line #256 and Line #2090 split at Elmont Junction. From Elmont Junction, Line #2090 will proceed north and be rebuilt along an existing 200-foot-wide right-of-way for approximately 5.5 miles on new double-circuit weathering steel monopoles to New Post Substation. Line #2090 will then continue for approximately 0.9 mile on existing 200-foot-wide right-of-way to Lee’s Hill Substation but will be renumbered Line #2355.² Line #2090/Line #2355 will be strung on one side of the double-circuit monopoles, and a new 230 kV line (Line #2372/Line #2378) will be installed on the other side of the double-circuit monopoles. The conductor on both sides of the structures will be 2-768 ACSS conductor. Two new DNO-11410 48-fiber shield wires will also be installed for lightning protection shielding and telecommunications purposes. The new line and substation equipment will have a minimum summer rating of 1573 MVA using 4000 A substation equipment.
- Construct a new approximately 14.1-mile overhead 230 kV line between Ladysmith and Lee’s Hill Substations, connecting at New Post Substation. The new Ladysmith – New Post line will be numbered Line #2372 and the new Lee’s Hill – New Post line will be numbered #2378. Line #2372 will be installed onto

² In its Application, the Company originally indicated that Line #2090 between New Post and Lee’s Hill Substations would be renumbered to #2335. In the Company’s February 14, 2025 errata filing, Dominion explained that this was a labeling error, and all references to Line #2335 should be replaced with Line #2355 throughout the filing documents. The original caption of this case contained a reference to Line #2335. As part of this Final Order, the caption of the case is modified to accurately reflect the line number assigned by Dominion.

the existing structures of Line #2089. Line 2089 will be reconducted from Ladysmith Substation to Ladysmith CT.³ Line #2372 will have three segments. Starting at Ladysmith Substation, the first segment will travel approximately 3.2 miles along an existing 250-foot-wide right-of-way on existing double-circuit galvanized steel towers from structure #2089/3 to 2089/18. The second segment of Line #2372 will travel approximately 4.5 miles on existing 250-foot-wide right-of-way from Ladysmith CT junction (Structure #2089/19) (“Ladysmith Junction”) to Elmont Junction. For this segment of Line #2372, the new line will be installed on new single-circuit weathering steel 230 kV monopoles. Finally, the third segment will travel approximately 5.5 miles from Elmont Junction to New Post Substation and will be constructed on the same double-circuit weathering steel monopoles as Line #2090 along an existing 200-foot-wide right-of-way. All three segments will be installed with 2-768 ACSS conductor. One new DNO-11410 OPGW (optical ground wire) will be installed for lightning protection shielding and telecommunications purposes above the new Line #2372 from Ladysmith Substation to the New Post Substation. The Company will install equipment as needed at Ladysmith Substation to provide a termination point for Line #2372. Because the existing right-of-way is adequate for the new proposed Line #2372, no new right-of-way is required. Line #2372 will become Line #2378 at New Post Substation and will continue north approximately 0.9 mile along existing 200-foot-wide right-of-way up to Lee’s Hill Substation, sharing structures with Line #2355. The same structure framing, finishing, conductor and telecom/shielding wire described for Line #2355 will be used for Line #2378.⁴

In its Application, Dominion estimates that it will take 36 months to complete the Project, including detailed engineering, materials procurement, permitting, real estate, and construction.⁵ The Application identified a desired in-service date of June 2028.⁶ The Company further estimates the conceptual cost of the Project (in 2024 dollars) to be approximately \$117.7 million.⁷

³ See Application at 2 n.1.

⁴ *Id.* at 2-4.

⁵ *Id.* at 7.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Id.* at 8.

On September 23, 2024, the Commission issued an Order for Notice and Comment (“Procedural Order”) in this proceeding that, among other things, docketed the case; directed the Company to provide notice of its Application; granted the opportunity for interested persons to request a hearing, comment on the Application, and participate in the proceeding; and directed Staff to investigate the Application and file a report (“Staff Report”). The Commission did not receive any notices of participation or requests for hearing on the proposed Project, and further did not receive any public comments.

As noted in the Procedural Order, Staff requested that the Department of Environmental Quality (“DEQ”) coordinate an environmental review of the Project by the appropriate agencies and provide a report on the review.⁸ On October 23, 2024, DEQ filed its report (“DEQ Report”) with the Commission. The DEQ Report provides recommendations for the Commission’s consideration that are in addition to any requirements of federal, state, or local law. Specifically, the DEQ Report contains the following Summary of Findings and Recommendations regarding the Project. According to DEQ, the Company should:⁹

- Follow DEQ’s recommendations for construction activities to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands to the maximum extent possible.
- Follow DEQ’s recommendations regarding erosion and sediment control and stormwater management, as applicable.
- Reduce solid waste at the source, reuse it and recycle it to the maximum extent practicable, as applicable.
- Coordinate with the Department of Conservation and Recreation’s (DCR) Division of Natural Heritage [“DCR-DNH”] regarding its recommendations for invasive species management, surveying for the New Jersey Rush, and to obtain an update on natural heritage information, as necessary.

⁸ Staff also requested a wetland impacts consultation, which DEQ included as part of its DEQ Report.

⁹ DEQ Report at 5-6 (citations omitted).

- Coordinate with the [Department of Historic Resources (“DHR”)] regarding the recommendation to perform comprehensive cultural resource surveying prior to construction of any [Commission]-approved alternative and evaluation of all identified resources, along with mitigation for impacts on Woodpecker Farm.
- Coordinate with the Department of Health regarding its recommendations to protect public drinking water sources.
- Follow the principles and practices of pollution prevention to the maximum extent practicable.
- Limit the use of pesticides and herbicides to the extent practicable.
- Coordinate with the Department of Wildlife Resources regarding its recommendations related to instream work, the protection of threatened and endangered species, and to minimize adverse impacts from linear utility development on wildlife and natural resources.
- Coordinate with the Virginia Outdoors Foundation should Dominion or their contractors need to access the open-space easement property outside of the existing [right-of-way].

On January 31, 2025, Staff filed its Staff Report summarizing the results of its investigation of Dominion’s Application. Staff concluded that Dominion has reasonably demonstrated the stated need for the Project; the Project utilizes only existing transmission corridors and appears to avoid or reasonably minimize impacts on existing residences, scenic assets, historic districts, and the environment; and the Project does not appear to adversely impact any goal established by the Virginia Environmental Justice Act (“VEJA”).¹⁰ On February 14, 2025, Dominion filed rebuttal testimony that, among other things, supported the conclusions of the Staff Report, but opposed or offered clarifications to various recommendations included in the DEQ Report.¹¹

Applicable Law

¹⁰ Staff Report at 21-22.

¹¹ Dominion’s rebuttal testimony refers to items addressed in the DEQ Report as “suggestions” or “recommendations.” These items, many of which the DEQ Report includes in its Summary of Findings and Recommendations or otherwise identifies as recommendations, are referred to herein as recommendations.

The statutory scheme governing the Company's Application is found in several chapters of Title 56 of the Code.

Section 56-265.2 A 1 of the Code provides the following:

[I]t shall be unlawful for any public utility to construct, enlarge or acquire . . . any facilities for use in public utility service, except ordinary extensions or improvements in the usual course of business, without first having obtained a certificate from the Commission that the public convenience and necessity require the exercise of such right or privilege.

Section 56-46.1 of the Code further directs the Commission to consider several factors when reviewing the Company's Application. Subsection A of the statute provides that:

Whenever the Commission is required to approve the construction of any electrical utility facility, it shall give consideration to the effect of that facility on the environment and establish such conditions as may be desirable or necessary to minimize adverse environmental impact In every proceeding under this subsection, the Commission shall receive and give consideration to all reports that relate to the proposed facility by state agencies concerned with environmental protection; and if requested by any county or municipality in which the facility is proposed to be built, to local comprehensive plans that have been adopted Additionally, the Commission (a) shall consider the effect of the proposed facility on economic development within the Commonwealth . . . and (b) shall consider any improvements in service reliability that may result from the construction of such facility.

Section 56-46.1 B of the Code further provides that:

As a condition to approval the Commission shall determine that the line is needed and that the corridor or route chosen for the line will avoid or reasonably minimize adverse impact to the greatest extent reasonably practicable on the scenic assets, historic and cultural resources recorded with [DHR], cultural resources identified by federally recognized Tribal Nations in the Commonwealth, and environment of the area concerned In making the determinations about need, corridor or route, and method of installation, the Commission shall verify the applicant's load flow modeling, contingency analyses, and reliability needs presented to justify the new line and its proposed method of installation. If the

local comprehensive plan of an affected county or municipality designates corridors or routes for electric transmission lines and the line is proposed to be constructed outside such corridors or routes, in any hearing the county or municipality may provide adequate evidence that the existing planned corridors or routes designated in the plan can adequately serve the needs of the company. Additionally, the Commission shall consider, upon the request of the governing body of any county or municipality in which the line is proposed to be constructed, (a) the costs and economic benefits likely to result from requiring the underground placement of the line and (b) any potential impediments to timely construction of the line.

The Code further requires that the Commission consider existing right-of-way easements when siting transmission lines. Section 56-46.1 of the Code provides that “[i]n any hearing, the public service company shall provide adequate evidence that existing rights-of-way cannot adequately serve the needs of the company.” In addition, Code § 56-259 C provides that “[p]rior to acquiring any easement of right-of-way, public service corporations will consider the feasibility of locating such facilities on, over, or under existing easements of rights-of-way.”

Public Convenience and Necessity

According to Dominion’s Application, the Project is needed to resolve identified violations of NERC Reliability Standards resulting from the overall load growth in the Richmond Load Area and to maintain the reliability of the Company’s transmission system.¹² Based on Staff’s investigation, Staff concluded that Dominion has demonstrated the need for the Project.¹³ The Commission agrees that the Application demonstrates that the Project is needed based on the combination of identified factors.

¹² See, e.g., Application at Appendix, pp. 1-5. Dominion indicates that it has received twelve delivery point requests in the Project area with a total projected load of approximately 3,100 MW by 2029. *Id.* at 4.

¹³ Staff Report at 5-10.

Right-of-Way and Routing

Consistent with statutory preference, the Company did not consider any alternative routes for the Project, because the Project uses existing right-of-way entirely.¹⁴ The record demonstrates that the Company established the existing corridor containing Lines #2089, #256, and #2090, which includes the approximately 6.4-mile right-of-way between Elmont Junction and Lee's Hill Substation, and the approximately 7.3-mile right-of-way between Elmont Junction and St. Johns Substation, in 1991.¹⁵

Impact on Scenic Assets, Historic and Cultural Resources, and Environment

The Project, using existing right-of-way, would cross agricultural lands and undeveloped lands. The proposed route of the Project crosses a total of approximately 275 acres of prime farmland and approximately 125 acres of farmland of statewide importance. The Application states that agricultural uses are present within the existing right-of-way and these activities have been occurring within the right-of-way while the existing transmission lines have been in operation. Dominion noted that the Project may result in temporary impacts to farmland during construction but would otherwise not be expected to impact farmlands and would not alter the agricultural use. As the Project is within existing transmission line right-of-way, no impacts to forestland within the right-of-way are expected.¹⁶

¹⁴ Application at Appendix, p. 54. *See also*, Code §§ 56-46.1, 56-259 C.

¹⁵ Application at Appendix, pp. 51-52; Staff Report at 14.

¹⁶ Application at Appendix, p. 119.

The Project would also cross some low-density residential communities. There are 519 dwellings located within 500 feet, 226 dwellings located within 250 feet, and 102 dwellings located within 100 feet of the centerline of the existing transmission line corridors.¹⁷

The Application identified two properties that are eligible or potentially eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (“NRHP”) located within 0.5 mile of the proposed Project. In addition, the Application identified one NRHP-eligible property, and one NRHP-listed property, within the Project right-of-way.¹⁸ In Dominion’s assessment, the Project would have no more than a minimal impact on these resources.¹⁹ Additionally, Dominion asserts that using the existing transmission corridor minimizes impact to any NRHP site.²⁰ The DEQ Report states that DHR did not entirely concur with this assessment, contending that Woodpecker Farm, an NRHP-eligible property within the existing right-of-way, will be moderately affected by the Project and this will warrant mitigation.²¹ In rebuttal testimony, the Company clarified that it would coordinate with DHR during the permitting phase of the Project, and fulfill all regulatory obligations as required by the permitting process.²²

The Project would cross 10.2 acres of land with a low probability of containing palustrine emergent (“PEM”)/palustrine scrub-shrub (“PSS”) wetlands, 61.5 acres of land with a medium

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ Application at DEQ Supplement, p. 14.

¹⁹ *See, e.g.*, Application at Appendix, pp. 102-03.

²⁰ *Id.* at 68.

²¹ DEQ Report at 19.

²² Rebuttal Testimony of Lucas A. DuPont at 9-10.

probability of containing PEM/PSS wetlands, and 102.2 acres of land with a high probability of containing PEM/PSS wetlands.²³

The Commission finds that the proposed route for the Project – which uses existing transmission right-of-way entirely – will avoid or reasonably minimize adverse impact to the greatest extent reasonably practicable on the scenic assets, historic and cultural resources, and environment of the area concerned.

Economic Development

The Commission has considered the effect of the Project on economic development in the Commonwealth and finds the evidence in this case demonstrates that the Project would maintain reliable electric service in the Commonwealth and support economic growth in Caroline and Spotsylvania Counties.²⁴

Environmental Impact

Pursuant to § 56-46.1 A and B of the Code, the Commission is required to consider the Project's impact on the environment and to establish such conditions as may be desirable or necessary to minimize adverse environmental impacts. The statute further provides, among other things, that the Commission shall receive and consider all reports that relate to the Project by state agencies concerned with environmental protection.

As a condition of our approval herein, the Commission directs Dominion to comply with the uncontested recommendations of the DEQ Report. However, there are some

²³ See, e.g., Application at DEQ Supplement, Attachment 2.D.1, p. 5.

²⁴ See Staff Report at 19.

recommendations in the DEQ Report that Dominion clarifies or requests the Commission to reject, which are addressed below.²⁵

Dominion requested that the Commission reject DCR-DNH's recommendation that the Company develop and implement an invasive species plan to be included as part of the Company's maintenance practices for any new or existing rights-of-way, and that such plan include an invasive species inventory for the Project area. Among other things, Dominion explained that, consistent with industry standards, the Company already has a comprehensive integrated vegetation management plan for controlling vegetation, including invasive species that could be in conflict with the safe operation of transmission infrastructure. As in prior proceedings, the Commission declines to adopt this DCR-DNH recommendation but recognizes that the Company and DCR-DNH continue to coordinate on an addendum to the Company's existing integrated vegetation management plan.²⁶

Dominion also offered clarification on DEQ's discussion of the Virginia Stormwater Management Plan ("VSMP") authority in the Project area – in particular, DEQ's statement that "Spotsylvania County is the VSMP authority for portions of the project located within the county." Dominion explained that, for linear projects, the Company operates under and in accordance with its *Standards & Specifications for Erosion & Sediment Control and Stormwater Management for Construction and Maintenance of Linear Electric Transmission Facilities*

²⁵ The Commission also recognizes the Company's commitment to continue to coordinate with DHR regarding Woodpecker Farm, as detailed above.

²⁶ DEQ Report at 18; Rebuttal Testimony of Lucas A. DuPont at 5-7; See, e.g., *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Daves Store 230 kV Line Extension*, Case No. PUR-2024-00021, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 241090237, Final Order (Oct. 28, 2024); *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Suffolk - Structure #246/94 230 kV Transmission Line #246 Virginia Rebuild Project*, Case No. PUR-2023-00203, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 240620058, Final Order (June 11, 2024).

(“AS&S”), which is approved annually by DEQ, and is consistent with applicable Virginia permits and laws.²⁷ Dominion stated that Spotsylvania County is therefore not the VSMP authority for the Project, and the Company must comply with the AS&S for all activities statewide.²⁸ The Commission declines to address the delineation of legal authority between DEQ and Spotsylvania County. Rather, we encourage Dominion to work with DEQ and Spotsylvania County for purposes of Virginia Stormwater Management, as appropriate.

In response to DEQ’s recommendation to coordinate with DCR to obtain an update on natural heritage information, as necessary, Dominion clarified that, during the permitting phase of the Project, it would run a new Department of Natural Heritage Data Explorer report to check for updates and ensure compliance with all regulatory requirements.²⁹ Dominion also responded to DCR’s recommendation to conduct a survey for the New Jersey Rush. The Company clarified that it would comply with regulatory permitting obligations, and when required through the permitting process, would survey for state and/or federally listed threatened or endangered species, to include the state-listed New Jersey Rush. At that time, if the New Jersey Rush is listed in the Project area and the permitting agencies determine the proposed activity could result in an adverse effect to the species, the Company will conduct a habitat assessment and/or species surveys.³⁰ The Commission accepts Dominion’s commitments.

²⁷ The Company refers to the *Standards & Specifications for Erosion & Sediment Control and Stormwater Management for Construction and Maintenance of Linear Electric Transmission Facilities* as “AS&S,” despite the absence of a primary word in the title beginning with the letter “a.” See Rebuttal Testimony of Lucas A. DuPont at 8. This document appears on occasion to be referred to as an “annual” publication, which may account for styling of the short cite.

²⁸ DEQ Report at 12; Rebuttal Testimony of Lucas A. DuPont at 8.

²⁹ DEQ Report at 6; Rebuttal Testimony of Lucas A. DuPont at 5.

³⁰ DEQ Report at 17; Rebuttal Testimony of Lucas A. DuPont at 9.

Environmental Justice

The VEJA sets forth that “[i]t is the policy of the Commonwealth to promote environmental justice and ensure that it is carried out throughout the Commonwealth, with a focus on environmental justice communities and fenceline communities.”³¹ As previously recognized by the Commission, the Commonwealth’s policy on environmental justice is broad, including “the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of every person, regardless of race, color, national origin, income, faith, or disability, regarding the development, implementation, or enforcement of any environmental law, regulation, or policy.”³² The Commission finds that Dominion reasonably considered the requirements of the VEJA in its Application.³³

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The caption of this case shall be revised as follows, to accurately reflect

Dominion’s line number assignments:

APPLICATION OF

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

CASE NO. PUR-2024-00159

For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Partial Rebuild of Lines #256 and #2090/2355 and New 230 kV Lines #2372 (Ladysmith – New Post) and #2378 (Lee’s Hill – New Post)

³¹ Code § 2.2-235.

³² See, e.g., *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: 230 kV Altair Loop and Altair Switching Station*, Case No. PUR-2022-00197, 2023 S.C.C. Ann. Rept. 310, 316, Final Order (June 7, 2023) (quoting Code § 2.2-234); *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Lines #2019 and #2007 Rebuild Project*, Case No. PUR-2023-00023, 2023 S.C.C. Ann. Rept. 386, 391, Final Order (Aug. 24, 2023) (quoting Code § 2.2-234).

³³ See, e.g., Application at Appendix, p. 133.

(2) Dominion is authorized to construct and operate the Project as proposed in its Application and provided for herein.

(3) Pursuant to §§ 56-46.1, 56-265.2, and related provisions of Title 56 of the Code, Dominion's request for approval of the necessary certificates of public convenience and necessity ("CPCNs") to construct and operate the Project is granted as provided for herein.

(4) Pursuant to the Utility Facilities Act, § 56-265.1 *et seq.* of the Code, the Commission issues the following CPCNs to Dominion:

Certificate No. ET-DEV-CLN-2025-A, which authorizes Virginia Electric and Power Company under the Utility Facilities Act to operate certificated transmission lines and facilities in Caroline County, all as shown on the map attached to the certificate, and to construct and operate facilities as authorized in Case No. PUR-2024-00159, cancels Certificate No. ET-DEV-CLN-2022-A, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company in Case No. PUR-2021-00082 on April 29, 2022.

Certificate No. ET-DEV-FBX/SPO-2025-C, which authorizes Virginia Electric and Power Company under the Utility Facilities Act to operate certificated transmission lines and facilities in Spotsylvania County and the City of Fredericksburg, all as shown on the map attached to the certificate, and to construct and operate facilities as authorized in Case No. PUR-2024-00159, cancels Certificate No. ET-DEV-FBX/SPO-2025-B, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company in Case No. PUR-2024-00142 on March 5, 2025.

(5) Within thirty (30) days from the date of this Final Order, Dominion shall provide to the Commission's Division of Public Utility Regulation electronic maps for the foregoing Certificate Numbers that show the routing of the transmission lines approved herein. Maps shall be returned to Michael Cizenski, Deputy Director, Division of Public Utility Regulation, mike.cizenski@scc.virginia.gov.

(6) Upon receiving the maps directed in Ordering Paragraph (5), the Commission's Division of Public Utility Regulation forthwith shall provide Dominion copies of the CPCNs issued in Ordering Paragraph (4) with the maps attached.

(7) The Project approved herein must be constructed and in service by June 1, 2028. No later than ninety (90) days before the in-service date approved herein, for good cause shown, the Company is granted leave to apply, and to provide the basis, for any extension requested.

(8) This matter is dismissed.

A COPY hereof shall be sent electronically by the Clerk of the Commission to all persons on the official Service List in this matter. The Service List is available from the Clerk of the Commission.